Case 9:06-cv-00168-GLS-DRH Document 18 Filed 02/07/06 Page 1 of 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Doc #_

DEC 2 0 2008
S.D. OF W.A.D.

Steven Perez,

Plaintiff,

-against-

State of New York, et al.,

9.06-CV 168 6LS/DRH 05 Civ. 0360 (KMW) (THK)

Defendants.

WOOD, U.S.D.J.:

ORDER
U.S. DISTRICT COURT - N.D. OF N.Y.

FEB 0 7 2006

AT 0'CLOCK
Lawrence K. 240035, Cleft Syzause

"Report"), Magistrate Judge Theodore H. Katz recommended that the Court deny defendants' motion to dismiss <u>pro se</u> plaintiff's complaint under 42 U.S.C. \$1983 be denied, and that the case be transferred to the Northern District of New York.

The Report explicitly cautioned that failure to file timely objections would preclude appellate review. See Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 155 (1985); Wesolek v. Canadair Ltd., 838 F.2d 55, 58 (2d Cir. 1988). No objections have been filed, and the time to object has expired. See IUE AFL-CIO Pension Fund v. Herrmann, 9 F.3d 1049, 1054 (2d Cir. 1993).

The Court has reviewed the Report, and finds it to be well-reasoned and free of any "clear error on the face of the record."

Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b) advisory committee's note. See

also Nelson v. Smith, 618 F. Supp. 1186, 1189 (S.D.N.Y. 1985).

The AdRLE CORYETOR accepts and adopts the Report. See Thomas,

J. MICHAEL MCMAHON, CLERK

DEPUTY CLERK

MICROFILM FC 2 1 2005 & SEMILIA 474 U.S. at 155 (1985) (failure to file timely objections constitutes waiver of objections); Small v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., 892 F.2d 15, 16 (2d Cir. 1989) ("failure to object timely to a magistrate's report operates as a waiver of any further judicial review of the magistrate's decision").

Accordingly, the Court denies defendants' motion to dismiss, but orders this case transferred to the Northern District of New York.

The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case. Any pending motions are moot.

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

New York, New York December 15, 2005

Kimba M. Wood

United States District Judge